




PCT/GB 2004 / 0 0 1 5 2 1



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ




BEST AVAILABLE COPY

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.




Signed

Dated 27 April 2004

**PRIORITY
DOCUMENT**

SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

Patents Form 1/77

Patents Act 1977
(Rule 16)

The
Patent
Office

Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

The Patent Office

Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP9 1RH

1. Your reference

P3159 GB PRO

09APR03 E799012-1 D10007

7700 0.00-0308182.5

2. Patent application number

(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

0308182.5

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

AOTI OPERATING COMPANY, INC.
131 NW HAWTHORNE AVENUE
SUITE 207
BEND, OREGON 97701, US

E 9 APR 2003

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

8 113802002

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

DELAWARE, USA

4. Title of the invention

DETECTION METHOD AND APPARATUS

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

NOVAGRAAF PATENTS LIMITED

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)

THE CRESCENT
54 BLOSSOM STREET
YORK YO24 1AP

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

08299166001

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number

Country

Priority application number
(if you know it)Date of filing
(day / month / year)

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application

Date of filing
(day / month / year)

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:

- a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
c) any named applicant is a corporate body.
See note (c))

YES

Patents Form 1/77

Patents Form 1/77

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form. Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form

Description 16

Claim(s) 0

Abstract 0

Drawing(s) 3 only

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77)

Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77)

Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77)

Any other documents
(please specify)

11.

I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

Date

NOVAGRAAF PATENTS LIMITED

09/04/2003

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

PETER WILSON (DR)

01904 610586

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

Patents Form 1/77

Detection Method and Apparatus

The invention relates to method and apparatus for detecting surface particulate defects, and especially metal particulates, in semiconductors such as silicon. The invention in particular relates to an improved method and apparatus to characterise defects likely to have an effect on the electrical activity of such semiconductor materials, and consequently a deleterious effect on the behaviour devices fabricated therefrom.

10

Surface particles in semiconductor processing are a major cause of degradation of device performance and device yield loss. Contaminate particles can locally mask lithographic, implant or etch steps which can cause shorts or open circuit. Also such particles can degrade the gate oxide integrity, which degrade the operation of all (MOS) Metal oxide semiconductor devices. Particles, with characteristic dimensions such as a fraction of the chip's smallest features can lead to killer defects.

15

The critical size for a technology has historically been one half or one third of the gate length for a MOS device. As semiconductors get smaller and smaller, copper is seen as a better choice for interconnects because it is a better conductor than aluminium. The tendency of copper from interconnects to diffuse into the surrounding chip material, poisoning the silicon or other semiconductor material, has largely been overcome by modifying the deposition step to first deposit a barrier material lining the etched tracks, onto which the copper is deposited, sealed by further barrier material deposition.

20

25

However, particles generated during processing can inadvertently contaminate a wafer. As with any semiconductor-manufacturing step, control of surface particles is therefore critical. It is customary to measure particulate addition to

30

a wafer after a process step and also after surface cleaning. Location and quantification of particles on a wafer surface is accomplished using a laser surface scanner, which detects the scattered light from surface particles. The wafer surface is excited with a focused laser beam and reflected and scattered light is collected using a number of different detectors placed at different angles relative to the excitation direction. Surface particles scatter the light in an off specular in all directions. The particle density is detected by scattered light pulses, as the laser is scanned across the wafer. The particle size is detected through the size dependence of the scattered light and determined using calibrated standard particle sizes.

There is a lower limit to the particle size that can be detected, because the wafer surface roughness. The surface itself has a certain amount of scattered light and if the particle scatter falls within the surface scatter it cannot be detected. New laser scanning systems angle resolved measurements could overcome this limitation. The current international specification for particles is defined as one-half of the design technology for a 190nm device process this would be $\geq 90\text{nm}$ particles, with a density $\leq 0.18 \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

If the particle size is smaller than the qualification specification it will not be detected during particle detection. However metal particles can be present on the wafer surface that are within the current particle specification but which after processing can diffuse into the semiconductor material and have a potentially detrimental effect on the device performance, leading to enhanced leakage and gate oxide degradation.

Laser surface scanners detect all types of particles, such as organic, non-metallic and metallic. The detection of small metallic particles (below the current detection limit) that are on the surface of the wafer or are diffused into

the wafer is becoming critical for device processes using Cu interconnects. Therefore it is important to monitor incoming wafers for metallic particles, as well as device-processed wafers. In addition monitor wafers are used to detect particles in device processes equipment such as a furnace or metal etcher, and
5 this type of wafer would need to be assessed.

It is an object of the present invention to develop a method and apparatus for detecting surface particulate defects, and especially metal particulates, in semiconductors such as silicon which mitigates some or all of the above
10 disadvantages.

It is a particular object of the invention to provide a method and apparatus for detecting such surface particulate defects at sizes below current particulate qualification specifications.

15

It is a particular object of the invention to provide a method and apparatus for characterising such surface particulate defects in a manner that relates at least to some extent to their effect on the electrical activity of the semiconductor rather than to size alone.

20

Thus, in accordance with the present invention in its first aspect a method of detecting surface particulate defects, and especially metal particulates, in semiconductors such as silicon, and in particular of characterising defects likely to have an effect on the electrical activity of such semiconductor
25 materials, comprises the steps of:

exposing the surface of the semiconductor structure in the vicinity of a surface particulate to at least one high-intensity beam of light from a suitable light source, preferably a laser, and in particular a high-intensity laser;
collecting photoluminescence produced by excitation of the semiconductor
30 structure by the light beam;

processing the collected photoluminescence to produce a result representative of the intensity of the photoluminescence response;

for example then comparing the result with a predetermined acceptable specification range of photoluminescence to identify unacceptable

5 contamination levels resulting from diffusion of contaminant from particulate into semiconductor structure.

The photoluminescence technique produces a spatially resolved PL map at a resolution determined by the characteristics of the high-intensity beam of
10 light. This can be exploited by further preferred features of the present method, but for the fundamental objective of the invention is to resolve this map to a level capable of producing a result indicating the PL intensity over the wafer area in the vicinity of a surface particulate.

15 Photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopy is a very sensitive technique for investigating both intrinsic and extrinsic electronic transitions at impurities in semiconductors. When silicon is with laser irradiation above the band-gap of the material, electron hole pairs are produced. These carriers can recombine in various different ways, some of which give rise to luminescence. The electron
20 hole pairs can be trapped at impurities in silicon and they emit photons characteristic of this interaction, thereby giving impurity specific information in the photoluminescence spectra. The spectra are inherently indicative of the electrical activity of the semiconductor material in the vicinity of the particulates, being indicative therefore of the effect on this electrical activity
25 of diffusion of contaminant from the particulates into the semiconductor wafer, such as might occur during fabrication even from particulates smaller than current specification. Thus, in accordance with the method a result related to the deleterious changes to electrical activity in the region of a particulate is obtained, allowing direct detection of such problems rather than
30 indirect screening relying on particulate size considerations.

This photoluminescence result can then be compared to a predetermined acceptable specification range developed in association with studies using more precise analysis methods (e.g. electrical yield test methods on the
5 fabricated device). In a refinement of the method, a final step comprises making a quality classification of the semiconductor structure based upon such a comparison, and in particular rejecting or selecting for remedial action semiconductor structures exhibiting a photoluminescence response outside the said predetermined acceptable specification range.

10

It has generally been accepted in order to obtain this spectral information and unambiguous chemical identification of the optical centres, measurements need to be carried out at liquid helium temperatures. It is known throughout the industry that at room temperature the PL signal is significantly weakened
15 and very little useful spectral information can be obtained. However, International patent application WO97/09649, which describes a non-destructive technique which makes practical the detection of electrically active defects in semiconductor structures based on room temperature PL. That reference discloses a PL technique which has industrial application in that it
20 enables the image to be produced within minutes and which has a further added advantage in producing micro imaging of small individual defects particularly near to the surface of the wafer, where the device is fabricated.

A room temperature PL technique is accordingly preferred, such as in
25 particular that described by WO97/09649. Moreover, the particular effectiveness of this technique in identifying copper contamination in near-surface semiconductor structures has been described in International patent application WO02/29883. Preferred features of the methods described in those applications and incorporated herein by reference will be understood to
30 be applicable to the present invention. The present invention relies on the

surprising realisation that these methods can be used not merely to detect

lattice contamination inherent in the semiconductor structure for the reasons set out in those references, but is also singularly effective in detecting contamination arising from diffusion of contaminant from surface particulates into the semiconductor structure, and is accordingly singularly effective in providing information about the effect of such particulates in practice which is more relevant to ultimate device performance than direct particulate measurement methods such as those based on particulate size alone.

- 10 The success of the room temperature PL method disclosed in the above references is, in part, due to the probing volume probed by the laser being small, spatial resolution preferably 0.1 to 20 μ m, ideally 2 to 5 μ m, and with a peak or average power density of between 10⁴ to 10⁹ watts/cm², so that localised contaminants have much greater effect on the measured PL intensity and is also believed, in part, because since the excitation is focused the injected carrier density is high.

The light beam used to generate the PL effect is so controlled, and in particular beam power and/or wavelength and/or spot size so controlled, as to identify defects at a selective depth in said semiconductor structure, so as to collect PL information from a suitable near-surface depth reflecting the level at which the device is fabricated. Typically this might for example be from the upper 12 μ m of the semiconductor structure. For certain materials and devices, smaller depths may be appropriate, down to for example 5 μ m or even 1 μ m. In particular the light beam used to generate the PL effect is a high-intensity laser.

Reference herein to a high-intensity laser is meant to include, without limitation, a high power density laser i.e. where regardless of the power of the laser the emittance is focused.

In order to perform the method of the invention effectively, it is necessary to locate particulates posing a potential problem and then to obtain the PL information characteristic of electrical activity of contamination in the vicinity thereof, to give an indication of whether diffusion from the particulate into the surface is a problem. In a preferred embodiment therefore the method comprises a first step of locating surface particulates using a suitable particulate imaging method, and a subsequent or simultaneous second step of generating PL intensity information as above described from the vicinity of each particulate to provide a quantification of the extent to which contaminant has diffused from the particulate into the near-surface region of the semiconductor, in particular to an extent where the level of contaminant will be detrimental to device performance.

The basic PL technique can be used to generate a PL map imaging the PL response across the whole wafer. This is not likely to be the most rapid alternative in practice. The particulate imaging, mapping and locating method might conveniently alternatively be by another suitable method. This might involve the generation of a scattered light dark field image and/or a reflected light bright field image.

In the former case the method might for example be a conventional laser surface scanning method as above described or another suitable relatively rapid scanning method. In the latter case the method comprises generation of a reflected light surface map of the area under test, preferably simultaneously with the PL image and using the same light source.

That is, the method includes the steps of:

- directing a high intensity beam of light such as a high-intensity laser at a surface of a sample of semiconductor structure to be tested in the manner above described;
- producing a first or photoluminescence image from photoluminescence produced by excitation of the semiconductor structure by the light beam;
- 5 producing a second image mapping the location of the particulates, either as a dark field image of light scattered from the surface of the semiconductor structure or as a bright field image of light reflected from the surface of the semiconductor structure;
- 10 using the second image to detect and map surface particulates;
- processing the photoluminescence image to produce a result representative of the intensity of the photoluminescence response of the semiconductor structure in the vicinity of the surface particulates so detected;
- for example then comparing the result with a predetermined acceptable specification range of photoluminescence.
- 15

The second image may be produced from light from the same primary light source as that generating the PL image, or from an additional high intensity light source, in which latter case the additional light source is preferably a laser source, such as a conventional laser scanner, and the method comprises directing a second high intensity beam of light such as a high-intensity laser at a surface of a sample of semiconductor structure to be tested simultaneously or successively with the first high intensity beam of light, respectively to generate the said particulate map image and the said PL response.

20

25

Comparison of the first and second images to identify target particulates may be merely by simultaneous observation. Preferably however the images are analysed statistically, for example by digitizing prior to performing a numerical comparison/ analysis.

30

Preferably, the method involved generating a digitized intensity measurement (e.g. point by point reading but preferably a digitized intensity map) representative of the intensity of the first, PL image; generating a digitized intensity measurement (e.g. point by point reading but preferably a digitized map) representative of the intensity of the second image; numerically processing the digitized intensity measurements to produce the result above.

A suitable detailed example of such a method is described in International Application No WO 02/077621.

10

The PL image and the corresponding reflected surface image or other map which is equivalent to an optical microscope image may be recorded. Surface particles are detected in reflected image. Only after contaminant from the surface particle has diffused into the wafer (and the contamination is now in the Si or other semiconductor crystal lattice) are metal-related defects produced which are electrically active. These metal related defects are now observed in the PL map because they are electrically active. Therefore it is easy to identify areas for investigation by inspecting and comparing the PL and surface image recorded at the same location.

20

The preferred PL technique of the present invention is capable of generating a spatially resolved PL map across the area of the wafer. In the primary method of the invention, PL intensity data is collected for each particulate which is compared with reference data to make the quality control decision. If the method is to be used for such a go-no go quality control decision then only the PL level associated with a particulate is of concern. Coarse scanning by another more rapid method to locate particulates is used as above.

Nevertheless, it is a particular advantage of the technique of the present invention that it can additionally be used to generate a spatially resolved map

30

10

of PL signals across the surface of the semiconductor under test, and in particular to generate a spatially resolved image of those signals. Accordingly, in a preferred embodiment, the method further comprises the step of generating such a map and/or such an image. In these circumstances, it
5 can be appropriate to work to mapping/imaging resolutions of 0.5mm or less across the entire wafer. It might for example be appropriate to use such more detailed map generation on a batch sampling basis.

If the wafer is annealed this would diffuse contaminant from the particle into
10 the wafer and make their detection easier. Accordingly, in a preferred embodiment of the method, the semiconductor material is first heated to anneal and effect such diffusion prior to carrying out the detection steps as above. This might again be more suited to a batch testing process for quality control.

15

Heating of the semiconductor material may be effected by any suitable process, for example by incorporating heating means into any carrier apparatus. Heating to temperatures of between 200 and 400°C can be suitable to encourage more rapid diffusion of target species and create the desired
20 annealing effect. Alternatively, the annealing effect might be produced inherently, particularly during device processing, for species with high diffusion rates at lower temperature.

Copper in particular diffuses very rapidly at low temperatures, as compared to
25 other metallic impurities. Therefore if wafer was heated prior to analysis, the main effect observed should due especially to Cu. The method is in particular a method of detecting contamination from copper surface particulates, and in this example of the method the optional heating step is particularly useful.

Moreover, diffusion rates vary between species. In a further refinement the invention comprises a first step of collecting photoluminescence produced by excitation of the semiconductor structure by the light beam and processing the collected photoluminescence to produce a first photoluminescence result
5 representative of the intensity of the photoluminescence response as above described;

a heating step as above described to anneal the sample;

a second step of collecting photoluminescence produced by excitation of the semiconductor structure by the light beam and processing the collected
10 photoluminescence to produce a second photoluminescence result representative of the intensity of the photoluminescence response as above described after annealing;

a step of comparing the results of each photoluminescence step to determine the difference and obtain an indication of rates of diffusion so as to identify
15 the contaminant.

Again, the comparison between first and second is photoluminescence results is preferably performed numerically, for example by digitizing photoluminescence information collected at each stage prior to performing a
20 numerical comparison/ analysis with reference to known diffusion data for likely contaminant species.

In accordance with a further aspect of the invention an apparatus for detecting surface particulate defects, and especially metal particulates, in
25 semiconductors such as silicon, and in particular for characterising defects likely to have an effect on the electrical activity of such semiconductor materials, comprises means to perform the foregoing method.

In particular the apparatus comprises a support for a semiconductor sample
30 under test; a high intensity light source, preferably a laser, and in particular a

high-intensity laser; means to focus a high intensity beam of light from the light source onto a surface of a semiconductor sample under test on the support; collection means to collect photoluminescence data produced by excitation of the semiconductor structure by the light beam at least in the vicinity of particulates on the surface thereof; means to process the collected data to produce a result representative of the intensity of the photoluminescence response in the said vicinity; preferably also a comparator to compare the result with a predetermined acceptable specification range of photoluminescence to identify unacceptable contamination levels resulting from diffusion of contaminant from particulate into semiconductor structure.

Preferably the apparatus further includes means to locate particulates on the surface of the semiconductor structure, and in particular imaging means to create an image map of such location, simultaneously with the PL signal or otherwise. This might be a scattered light dark field image and/or a reflected light bright field image.

In the former case the imaging means might for example be a conventional laser surface scanner as above described with suitable collection optics or another suitable relatively rapid scanning method. In the latter case imaging means are provided to enable the creation of a reflected light surface map of the area under test, preferably simultaneously with the PL image using the same light source.

For example the apparatus includes a first imaging means to produce a first image from photoluminescence produced by excitation of the semiconductor structure by the light beam; a second imaging means to produce a second image of the surface of the semiconductor structure mapping particulates thereon, either as a dark field image of light scattered from the surface of the semiconductor structure or as a bright field image of light reflected from the

surface of the semiconductor structure; an image processor to process the second image to detect and map surface particulates and to process the first image to produce a result representative of the intensity of the photoluminescence response of the semiconductor structure in the vicinity of the surface particulates so detected; and a comparator to compare the result with a predetermined acceptable specification range of photoluminescence.

The second image may be produced from light from the same primary light source as that generating the PL effect, or from an additional light source, in which latter case the additional light source is preferably a laser source, such as a conventional laser scanner.

The imaging means may include a display (direct screen, photographic, camera and screen etc) allowing simultaneous viewing by an observer. Additionally or alternatively, digital imagers such as digital cameras collect digitised image intensity data to be processed numerically as above described.

In further preferred embodiment of the invention the light source of the apparatus comprises a laser of a spot size of between 0.1mm and 0.5 microns and/or a power density of between 10^4 to 10^9 watts/cm².

Preferably the apparatus further includes means to heat the sample under test to produce the annealing effect above described. In particular heating means are associated with the support. For example the support comprises a heated stage.

Other preferred apparatus features will be appreciated by analogy with the foregoing description of the method.

The invention is illustrated with reference to Figures 1 to 5 of the accompanying drawings in which:

Figures 1 to 4 show various images produced by an apparatus in accordance with the invention;

Figure 5 is a schematic representation of an implementation of the invention.

Figure 1a shows a PL image revealing an area containing of surface metal particle induced contamination. After low temperature heating at 250°C (See Figure 1b) the defect contrast has increased and the size of the area effected by the contamination. The similar type of effect is shown in Figures 2a-2b. This is attributed to diffusion of the metal particle into the Si wafer.

Copper can diffuse into a Si wafer a room temperature, and it can diffuse through the wafer thickness ($\approx 625 \mu\text{m}$) from the wafer backside to the wafer front in 4 hours. Clearly any contamination on the backside caused by handling could be transferred to the wafer front surface and effect the devices fabricated in this region.

As noted, copper diffuses very rapidly at relatively low temperatures, as compared to other metallic impurities. Therefore if the wafer was heated prior to analysis in accordance with the invention, the main effect observed should due especially to Cu. Also when low levels of Cu are diffused into the crystal lattice this can cause an increase in the local PL signal (previous SiPHER Cu patent) and can be used to identify Cu metallic particles diffused into the wafer.

The effect of the intentional Cu particle induced contamination was also investigated. The wafers were annealed at 400°C for 30 minutes to increase the diffusion of the metal contamination into the wafer, enhancing the defective area contrast. Figure 3a-3b show large area scans revealing the contamination effect by the surface particles. These defects are shown as having halo effects or moon like features (see defects labelled P in Figures 3a-3b). These particles induced defective areas cover between 200-600 µm. The wafer could then be mapped to produce a wafer map. The particle enhanced diffused areas could be readily identified.

10

A micro scan recorded at high resolution reveals the effect of particle diffusion into the wafer. The PL micro map and the corresponding surface image reveal the particle in the centre of the scanned area.

15 By measuring the image after controlled heating it would be possible to get information on the nature of the metal diffusing. Each metal has well known diffusion constant in Si, by heating the wafer for a fixed time and temperature, and measuring the SiPHER image before and after heating and indication of the potential type of metal contamination.

20

$$L = \sqrt{4tD}$$

D=Diffusion coefficient at heating temperature (cm²/s)

L= Diffusion length (cm)

25 T=Time (Seconds)




For example heating at a temperature of 1050°C, for 1 hour. Cu can diffuse up to 12mm, compared to 2mm for Fe and about 0.007 mm for Ti.

Metal particle defects could be detected by using different heating methods and chemical treatments used in a typical device process, such as furnace oxidation, rapid thermal processing (RTP). Also an in-situ hot wafer chuck, or halogen lamp could be used. Alternatively laser heating could be used, either
5 employing the light source used for the PL effect and/ or particulate imaging as above described, or from a yet further dedicated source. These could all be part of the system.



Also the particles could be detected using a laser surface scanner as part of the
10 hardware. The particles could be inspected directly in the same hardware configuration using the measurement optics described for example in the prior art patent applications referred to hereinabove.

Also wafer maps can be recorded on the wafer backside. This helps to identify
15 the source of the contamination whether it came from the wafer back surface.


Figure 5 is a measurement process flowchart illustrating a possible implementation of the invention.



2/3

Figure 4a. After Heating at 400°C PL Image (0.28 x 0.28 mm)	Figure 4b. After Heating at 400°C Surface Map Image (0.28 x 0.28 mm)
	

3/3

Figure 5. Measurement Process Flowchart

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☒ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☒ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☒ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☒ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.